arXiv:1401.3088v1 [cs.CR] 14 Jan 2014

Secret Message Transmission by HARQ with Multiple Encoding

Stefano Tomasin and Nicola Laurenti
Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova, Via Gradenigo 6/B, I-35131 Padova, Italy
{tomasin, nil}@dei.unipd.it

***Abstract*—Secure transmission between two agents, Alice and Bob, over block fading channels can be achieved similarly to conventional hybrid automatic repeat request (HARQ) by letting Alice transmit multiple blocks, each containing an encoded version of the secret message, until Bob informs Alice about successful decoding by a public error-free return channel. In existing literature each block is a differently punctured version of a single codeword generated with a Wyner code that uses a common randomness for all blocks. In this paper instead we propose a more general approach where multiple codewords are generated from independent randomnesses. The class of channels for which decodability and secrecy is ensured is characterized, with derivations for the existence of secret codes. We show in particular that the classes are not a trivial subset (or superset) of those of existing schemes, thus highlighting the novelty of the proposed solution. The result is further confirmed by deriving the average achievable secrecy throughput, thus taking into account both decoding and secrecy outage.**

***Index Terms*—Hybrid automatic repeat request, Physical Layer Security, Secret Message Transmission.**

I. Introduction

Physical layer secrecy has gained a lot of attention in the last few years, due to its ability of providing information theoretic unconditional security, thus adding security at the physical layer. From the seminal works [1]–[3] performance limits and achievable rates have been derived in different scenarios for the reliable yet secret transmission of confidential information (see, e.g. [4] for a review). In particular, it has been shown that diversity, in the form of fading (temporal diversity), multipath (frequency diversity) or multiple input multiple output (MIMO) (spatial diversity) is definitely beneficial to secret transmission. In fact, dimensions or instants in which the legitimate receiver is at an advantage with respect to the eavesdropper can be selected, even if the channels to both receivers have the same statistics. However, one of the main obstacles to the effective implementation of such systems is the need of knowing channel state information (CSI) towards both the legitimate receiver and the eavesdropper at the time of code design.

This drawback can be partly mitigated by the presence of a feedback channel, even with a limited rate and/or publicly accessible, which, contrary to the unconstrained transmission case, has been shown to increase secrecy capacity [5]. The automatic repeat request (ARQ) mechanism, with its intrinsic one-bit feedback is leveraged in [6] for the secure generation of cryptographic keys, that can either be used in traditional encryption systems or provide perfect secrecy through one-time-pad schemes. Instead of considering a simple retransmission approach, a hybrid automatic repeat request (HARQ)-like scheme is derived in [7] for secure communications over a block-fading Gaussian channel. In this case, a single codeword is generated and punctured versions of it are transmitted until the legitimate receiver decodes the secret message. For encoding, a wiretap code with incremental redundancy is employed, obtaining an incremental redundancy HARQ (IR-HARQ) scheme, and an outage formulation is considered. The approach of [7] is then extended in [8] to a multiuser uplink scenario, with each user aiming at transmitting a combination of public and confidential messages to a single base station, with the other users acting potentially as eavesdroppers. A suboptimal strategy of power allocation and scheduling to maximize the overall network utility is then derived. The HARQ secrecy scenario is also considered in [9] with a rather different approach, where standard codes are used with the addition of scrambling, but secrecy is expressed in terms of the bit error probability at the eavesdropper. Lastly, the throughput of HARQ without secrecy constraints has been studied in [10], but the analysis does not fit immediately the different scenario where a secret message must be transmitted.

In this paper we consider a scenario similar to IR-HARQ of [7], where transmissions occur on block fading channels. While for IR-HARQ at each retransmission a different puncturing of a single codeword of a Wyner code is used, we propose to encode the secret message with multiple codes and then send punctured versions of the multiple codewords until Bob decodes. The obtained solution is denoted secure HARQ (S-HARQ), and is a strict generalization of IR-HARQ. We prove the existence of codes that ensure both decodability and secrecy for all channel realizations that satisfy certain conditions. While decodability and secrecy in IR-HARQ depend on the *average over all channel realization* of the mutual information between the transmitted and received messages, in S-HARQ instead performance depends on *multiple averages* over different fading blocks, thus providing additional degrees of freedom[1].

II. System Model

We consider the scenario of Fig. 1, where an agent Alice transmits a secret message $\mathcal{M}$ to an intended destination agent,

[1]Notation, throughout the paper $\mathrm{E}_X[g(X)]$ denotes the expectation of $g(X)$ with respect to X, and $\mathrm{I}(X;Y)$ denotes the mutual information between X and Y.



Fig. 1. System Model.

Bob, over the Alice-Bob channel. A third agent, Eve, overhears the message transmitted by Alice over an independent Alice-Eve channel.

Time is organized in consecutive *slots* of the same duration, which are grouped into *frames*, each comprising M consecutive slots. The transmission of $\mathcal{M}$ spans in general many slots. At slot m of frame k, Alice transmits message $X^n_{k,m}$ of n symbols containing an encoded version of the secret message. The secret message $\mathcal{M}$ bears nR_s bits of information. At each slot, after Alice's transmission, Bob sends a not acknowledge (NACK) message if he fails to correctly decode the secret message. When decoding is successful, Bob sends an acknowledge (ACK) feedback to Alice, who stops transmissions. After MK slots used for the transmission of the same secret message, Alice discards the secret message, irrespective of Bob decoding outcome. ACK/NACK messages are perfectly received by Eve. The procedure is similar to HARQ, except for the presence of Eve, thus we denote the resulting scheme as S-HARQ.

The message received by Bob at slot m of frame k is denoted by $Y^n_{k,m}$, which is related to $X^n_{k,m}$ by a given transition probability distribution. Let us also define $X^{nM}_k = [X^n_{k,1}, \ldots, X^n_{k,M}]$ and $Y^{nM}_k = [Y^n_{k,1}, \ldots, Y^n_{k,M}]$. Eve overhears the packet $Z^n_{k,m}$ at slot m of frame k, and let us define $Z^{nM}_k = [Z^n_{k,1}, \ldots, Z^n_{k,M}]$. Both Bob and Eve use all previously received packets for decoding purposes. Moreover, Eve knows the encoding procedure followed by Alice.

We assume a block-fading channel, i.e., the channels do not change within each slot, while they vary from slot to slot. We also assume that the number of channel uses n within each slot is large enough so that we can use random coding arguments. For the Alice-Bob channel $\{p(Y_{k,m}|X_{k,m})\}$ denotes the symbol transition probability for slot $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, and frame $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. Similarly for the Alice-Eve channel we have $\{p(Z_{k,m}|X_{k,m})\}$. Let $p_{m,k} = \{p(Y_{k,m}|X_{k,m}), p(Z_{k,m}|X_{k,m})\}$ denote a generic channel realization for slot m and frame k, while $p_k = \{p_{m,k},\ m = 1, 2, \ldots, M\}$ is a set of channel realizations in frame k and

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \{p_{m,k},\ m = 1, 2, \ldots, M, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K\} \qquad (1)$$

denotes a generic channel realization for the whole sequence of frames. Fading implies that $\boldsymbol{p}$ is a random vector, and block fading statistics dictates the statistics of the vector. We also assume that CSI is not available to Alice before transmission.

III. Code Construction

Encoding aims at ensuring both decodability of $\mathcal{M}$ by Bob, and secrecy, i.e., preventing information leakage to Eve. To this end Alice encodes the secret message by using a random binning approach, independently (in the random message) for each frame. The *random message* used at frame k to confuse Eve is denoted as $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{d},k}$ and bears $nR_{\mathrm{d},k}$ bits of information. The random message is independently generated at each frame, contains no secret information and may even be completely irrelevant for the three agents, since its purpose is only to confuse Eve about $\mathcal{M}$. We denote the collection of the random messages over the K frames as $\mathcal{M}_e = \{\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{d},1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{d},K}\}$.

Encoding process: Let $i \in$ be the index of the (random) secret message $\mathcal{M}$ in the set of 2^{nR_s} messages. The encoding process works as follows: at frame k, Alice selects an index $j_k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, nR_{\mathrm{d},k}\}$ randomly and uniformly, and generates the codeword $X^{nM}_k(i, j_k)$, which is punctured into M codewords of n symbols $X^n_{k,1}(i, j_k), \ldots, X^n_{k,M}(i, j_k)$. Then, the punctured codeword $X^n_{k,m}(i, j_k)$ is transmitted at the m-th slot of frame k. The set of transmitted messages over all K frames is identified by the $(K+1)-$ple $(i, j_1, \ldots, j_K)$.

Decoding strategy: We consider a joint typicality decoder for both Bob and Eve. For the generic slot m of frame k, let $\mathcal{T}^n_{k,m,\epsilon}(XY)$ denote the set of all ϵ-jointly weakly typical sequences $(\{X^n_{1,1}(i, j_1), \ldots, X^n_{k,m}(i, j_k)\}, \{Y^n_{1,1}, \ldots, Y^n_{k,m}\})$. Bob decides for message $(\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}_1, \ldots, \hat{\jmath}_k)$ if $\{X^n_{\cdot,\cdot}(\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}_k)\}$ is the only sequence taken from C_n that is ϵ-jointly typical with $\{Y^n_{\cdot,\cdot}\}$. Otherwise an error is output.

The code used at each frame is called frame code, while *HARQ code* denotes the sequence of the frame codes. In particular:

frame code: this is a subset $C_{n,k}$ of $2^{n(R_s+R_{\mathrm{d},k})}$ words of nM symbols, randomly chosen. In fact, for each index pair (a, b), $a \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{nR_s}\}, b \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}\}$ we choose the word $X^{nM}_k(a, b)$ with independent symbols all drawn from a same distribution $p_{X_k}(\cdot)$;

HARQ code: this is the set of $2^{n(R_s+\sum_{k=1}^K R_{\mathrm{d},k})}$ codewords obtained by concatenating the K words $X^{nKM}(a, b_1, \ldots, b_K) = [X^{nM}_1(a, b_1), \ldots, X^{nM}_K(a, b_K)]$ and is denoted by C_n.

We also denote the set of all possible codes that can be generated for frame k as the ensemble $\mathcal{C}_{n,k} = \{C_{n,k}\}$, and that of all possible HARQ codes as $\mathcal{C}_n = \{C_n\}$. We assume that the actually selected code (as well as the ensemble) is known to both Bob and Eve.

Note that in the case of a single frame ($K = 1$) we obtain the scenario considered in [7], where a single codeword is split into M parts that are sequentially transmitted until Bob decodes. On the other hand, when $M = 1$ we have that a new codeword, generated by an independent random message, is transmitted at each slot. Other cases ($K > 1$ and $M > 1$) correspond to intermediate situations where each random message spans multiple slots, and more than one random message may be used to confuse the eavesdropper about the same secret message, provided that Bob needs more retransmissions. In the following we detail the general case for any value of K and M.

IV. Decodability and Secrecy Conditions

The design of the S-HARQ code aims at ensuring that a) Bob is able to decode the secret message with vanishing probability (*decodability*), and b) Eve gets vanishing information rate on the secret message (*secrecy*). Now we show that asymptotically ($n \to \infty$) for a given set $\mathcal{P}$ of channels, and for a given set of rates $(R_s, R_{\mathrm{d},1}, \ldots, R_{\mathrm{d},K})$, there exists a S-HARQ code that provides both decodability and secrecy. Note that the code to be used is the same for all channels in the set $\mathcal{P}$. In the considered scenario, no CSI is available to Alice, therefore if the channel is not in $\mathcal{P}$ we may have an outage event, i.e., either Bob may not decode $\mathcal{M}$ or Eve may get some information on $\mathcal{M}$. The outage probability P_{out} is the probability that an outage event occurs. From the definition of set $\mathcal{P}$ we have a bound on P_{out} as

$$P_{\mathrm{out}} \leq \mathrm{P}[\boldsymbol{p} \notin \mathcal{P}]\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{P}[\cdot]$ denotes the probability operator. The characterization of the set $\mathcal{P}$ can then guide the code design and its usage, since we can obtain an estimate of the outage probability by assessing the probability that the channel over which the code is actually used is outside $\mathcal{P}$.

In order to characterize $\mathcal{P}$ we first derive conditions on the realization $\boldsymbol{p}$ that ensure decodability by Bob on average over a set of codes, then we derive conditions on $\boldsymbol{p}$ that ensure secrecy with respect to Eve on average over a set of codes. Finally we characterize the set $\mathcal{P}$ over which a single code provides both secrecy and decodability.

From now on, for the sake of compactness we denote by $I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{I}(X^n_{k,m}; Y^n_{k,m}|p_{k,m})$ the single letter mutual information across the legitimate channel at slot m of frame k, and the analogous for the eavesdropper channel by $I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n_{k,m}; Z^n_{k,m}|p_{k,m})$.

A. Decodable codes ensemble characterization

As decodability is concerned, we have the following result:

Lemma 1. *Let $(\hat{\mathcal{M}}, \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{e}})$ be the message decoded by the ϵ-joint typicality decoder over K frames, and let the error probability associated with a given HARQ code C_n be $\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p}) = \mathrm{P}[(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_e) \neq (\hat{\mathcal{M}}, \hat{\mathcal{M}}_e)|C_n, \boldsymbol{p}]$ for a given channel realization $\boldsymbol{p}$. For all $K' \leq K$ and $M' \leq M$ that satisfy*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K'} R_{\mathrm{d},k} + R_s < \sum_{k=1}^{K'-1}\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m}) - \delta(\epsilon)\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{K'}\sum_{m=1}^{M'} \left[\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m}) - \delta(\epsilon)\right]\,, \tag{3}$$

with $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$, then for each and n there exists a $\delta'_\epsilon(n)$ such that $\delta'_\epsilon(n) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0$ for each ϵ, and

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})] \leq \delta_\epsilon(n)\,. \tag{4}$$

Proof: See the Appendix. ∎

B. Secrecy codes ensemble characterization

To deal with secrecy, we first denote the *information leakage of the first M' slots of the k-th frame* to Eve when Alice uses code $C_{n,k}$ over channel realization p_k as

$$\mathrm{L}(C_{n,k}|p_k, M') = \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; \{Z^n_{k,1}, \ldots, Z^n_{k,M'}\}|C_{n,k}, p_k). \tag{5}$$

Similarly, the information leakage for the transmission up to slot M' of frame K' is defined as

$$\mathrm{L}(C_n|\boldsymbol{p}, M', K') = \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{K'-1}, \{Z^n_{K',1}, \ldots, Z^n_{K',M'}\}|C_n, \boldsymbol{p}). \tag{6}$$

Then we start with the following lemma that establishes a relation between the information leakage of each frame and that of the transmission up to frame K'.

Lemma 2. *The information leakage over all frames up to slot M' of frame K' is not larger than the sum of information leakage for each frame, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{L}(C_n|\boldsymbol{p}, M', K') \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K'-1} \mathrm{L}(C_{n,k}|p_k, M) + \mathrm{L}(C_{n,K'}|p_{K'}, M')\,.$$

Proof: For the sake of a simpler notation we provide the proof for $K' = K$ and $M' = M$, the generalization being straightforward.

Since we use independent random binning in each transmission, $(Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n) \to (C_{n,k}, \mathcal{M}) \to Z^{nM}_k$ is a Markov chain.

By the chain rule for mutual information [11, eq. (2.62)] we have

$$\mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_K|C_n, \boldsymbol{p}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; Z^{nM}_k|Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n, p_k) \tag{7}$$

Each term in the sum can be upper bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; Z^{nM}_k|Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n, p_k) = \\
&\quad = \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n, p_k) - \\
&\qquad - \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|\mathcal{M}, Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n, p_k) \\
&\quad \leq \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|\mathcal{M}, C_{n,k}, p_k) - \\
&\qquad - \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|\mathcal{M}, Z^{nM}_1, \ldots, Z^{nM}_{k-1}, C_n, p_k) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|C_{n,k}, p_k) - \mathrm{H}(Z^{nM}_k|\mathcal{M}, C_{n,k}, p_k) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{M}; Z^{nM}_k|C_{n,k}, p_k) = \mathrm{L}(C_{n,k}|p_k, M)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

∎

Then we derive a bound on the information leakage at the k-th frame by the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For each channel realization $\boldsymbol{p}$ and (K', M') such that $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathrm{I}(X^n_{k,m}; Z^n_{k,m}|p_{k,m}) < R_{\mathrm{d},k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, K'-1$, $\sum_{m=1}^{M'} \mathrm{I}(X^n_{K',m}; Z^n_{K',m}|p_{K',m}) < R_{\mathrm{d},K'}$, and for each n and ϵ we have a $\delta(\epsilon)$ and a $\delta_\epsilon(n)$ such that $\delta_\epsilon(n) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0$ and*

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_{n,k}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_{n,k}|p_k, M)\right] \leq \delta(\epsilon) + \delta_\epsilon(n)\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, K'-1$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_{n,K'}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_{n,K'}|p_{K'},M')\right]\leq\delta(\epsilon)+\delta_\epsilon(n)\,.$$

Proof: See the wiretap coding theorem [4, pg. 72]. ∎

By combining the two results we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *For each channel realization $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $(K',\,M')$ such that*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m})<R_{\mathrm{d},k}\quad\forall k=1,2,\ldots,K'-1$$
$$\sum_{m=1}^{M'}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{E}}_{K,m}(p_{K',m})<R_{\mathrm{d},K'} \tag{9}$$

and for each n, we have a $\delta(\epsilon)$ and a $\delta_\epsilon(n)$ such that $\delta_\epsilon(n)\xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{}0$ and

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_n|\boldsymbol{p},M',K')\right]\leq K'\delta(\epsilon)+K'\delta_\epsilon(n)\,. \tag{10}$$

Proof: Follows from Lemmas 3 and 2. ∎

C. Characterization of the set $\mathcal{P}$

Having derived sufficient conditions for decodability and secrecy for given channel realizations we are now ready to derive conditions for both decodability and secrecy with the same code. We now show that for the set

$$\mathcal{P}=\{\boldsymbol{p}:\exists(K',M')\text{ for which both (3) and (9) hold}\} \tag{11}$$

there exists a single code (sequence) that provides both secrecy and decodability.

Theorem 1. *For all n there exists a specific code C_n^* with rates R_s and $\{R_{\mathrm{d},k}\}$ such that, for all channels $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}$ there exists $K'(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $M'(\boldsymbol{p})$ such that*

$$\mathrm{P}_e(C_n^*|\boldsymbol{p})\leq\delta_\epsilon(n)\,,\quad\mathrm{L}(C_n^*|\boldsymbol{p},M'(\boldsymbol{p}),K'(\boldsymbol{p}))\leq\delta(\epsilon)+K\delta_\epsilon(n) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{P}_e(C_n^*|\boldsymbol{p})=0\,,\quad\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_n^*|\boldsymbol{p})\leq\delta(\epsilon)\,. \tag{13}$$

Proof: From the definition of $\mathcal{P}$ and lemma 3 we immediately have

$$\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}}[\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})]]\leq\delta_\epsilon(n)\,, \tag{14}$$

while from Lemma 4 (and by the fact that $K'(\boldsymbol{p})<K$) we also have

$$\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}}\left[\mathrm{E}_{C_n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_n|\boldsymbol{p},M'(\boldsymbol{p}),K'(\boldsymbol{p}))\right]\right]\leq K\delta(\epsilon)+K\delta_\epsilon(n)\,. \tag{15}$$

Then, similarly to the approach of [7] we can swap the expectations over the channel set and the codes (since the integrands are non negative and finite), obtaining

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})]]\leq\delta_\epsilon(n) \tag{16}$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}\left[\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{L}(C_n|\boldsymbol{p},M'(\boldsymbol{p}),K'(\boldsymbol{p}))\right]\right]\leq K\delta(\epsilon)+K\delta_\epsilon(n)\,. \tag{17}$$

Now by applying the selection lemma [4, pg. 14] to both functions $\mathrm{P}_e(\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{L}(\cdot)$, with reference to the random variable C_n, we obtain a sequence of codes with vanishing error probability and leakage. By observing that both $\mathrm{P}_e(\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{L}(\cdot)$ are non negative, we obtain (12) and (13). ∎

We then have *a single code sequence* that provides both decodability and secrecy for *all channels in the set $\mathcal{P}$*.

Remark 1: this result generalizes that of [7]: for that code construction in fact, sufficient conditions for secrecy were ensured by a constraint on the sum of the mutual information between Alice and Eve across slots of a single frame. In our scenario instead we need bounds on each frame separately, as indicated by (9).

V. Secure channel sets

Since the set $\mathcal{P}$ is defined in terms of the mutual information of the Alice-Bob and Alice-Eve channels, we can equivalently describe it by the set of mutual informations satisfying the constraints, i.e., by the set

$$\mathcal{Q}=\{\{I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m},I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}\}:\ \boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P},\ \text{and}\ I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}=I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m})\,,I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}=I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}(p_{k,m})\}\,. \tag{18}$$

From the results of the previous Section we have

$$\mathcal{Q}=\bigcup_{K'=1}^{K}\bigcup_{M'=1}^{M}\left[\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{E})}(K',M')\cap\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{B})}(K',M')\right], \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{E})}(K',M')$ indicates the set of channels for which no information about the secret message has leaked to Eve up to the m-th slot of the k-th frame,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{E})}(K',M')=\Big\{\{I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m},I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}\}:\ \sum_{m'=1}^{M}I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k',m'}\leq R_{\mathrm{d},k'}\,,\ \text{for}\ k'=1,2,\ldots,K'-1\,,\ \sum_{m'=1}^{M'}I^{\mathrm{E}}_{K',m'}\leq R_{\mathrm{d},K'}\Big\} \tag{20}$$

while $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{B})}(K',M')$ indicates the set of channels for which the secret message is decodable by Bob within the m-th slot of the k-th frame,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathrm{B})}(K',M')=\Big\{\{I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m},I^{\mathrm{E}}_{k,m}\}:\ \sum_{k'=1}^{K'-1}\left[\sum_{m'=1}^{M}I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k',m'}-R_{\mathrm{d},k'}\right]^+ + \left[\sum_{m'=1}^{M'}I^{\mathrm{B}}_{K',m'}-R_{\mathrm{d},K'}\right]^+\geq R_s\Big\} \tag{21}$$

where $[x]^+=0$ if $x<0$ and $[x]^+=x$ if $x>0$. Condition (21) follows by applying Lemma 3 to the Alice-Bob channel, as we observe that if $\sum_{m=1}^{M}I^{\mathrm{B}}_{k,m}\leq R_{\mathrm{d},k}$, Bob will not make use of the signal received in frame k to decode the secret message.



Fig. 2. $R_{12s} = R_{\mathrm{d},1} + R_{\mathrm{d},2} + R_s$ Bob's decoding region $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{B})}(2,1)$ for S-HARQ with $K = 2$ and $M = 1$ (dashed area), and $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{B})}(1,2)$ of IR-HARQ (gray area).



Fig. 3. Eve's failure region $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{E})}(2,1)$ for S-HARQ with $K = 2$ and $M = 1$ (dashed area) and $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{B})}(1,2)$ of IR-HARQ (gray area).

A. Outage Analysis

A bound on the reliability outage probability for the whole transmission is then

$$P_{\mathrm{o}} \leq \mathrm{P}\left[\{I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{B}}, I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{E}}\} \notin \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{B})}(K,M)\right], \tag{22}$$

while the probability that decoding happens exactly at the m-the slot of the k-th frame is bounded as

$$P_{\mathrm{D}}(k,m) \geq \begin{cases} \mathrm{P}\left[\{I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{B}}, I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{E}}\} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{B})}(k,m) \setminus \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{B})}(k,m-1)\right] & m > 1 \\ \mathrm{P}\left[\{I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{B}}, I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{E}}\} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{B})}(k,1) \setminus \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{B})}(k-1,M)\right] & m = 1 \end{cases}. \tag{23}$$

Assuming that the Alice-Bob and Alice-Eve channels are independent, the secrecy outage probability up to slot m of frame k is bounded by

$$P_s(k,m) \leq \mathrm{P}\left[\{I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{B}}, I_{k,m}^{\mathrm{E}}\} \notin \mathcal{Q}^{(\mathrm{E})}(k,m)\right]. \tag{24}$$

VI. Numerical Results

We first provide some insight into the performance of the proposed solution by considering a transmission with only two frames $K = 2$ and one slot per frame $M = 1$. For given values of $R_{\mathrm{d},1}$, $R_{\mathrm{d},2}$ and R_s, Fig. 2 shows as a dashed area the set $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{B})}(2,1)$ with $K = 2$ and $M = 1$. We also show in gray the set $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{B})}(1,2)$ with $K = 1$ frame and $M = 2$ slot, that is when the IR-HARQ scheme is used with a random rate R_{d}^{IR} over the same channel. We observe that the shape of the two areas are different. Similarly, for given values of $R_{\mathrm{d},1}$, $R_{\mathrm{d},2}$ and R_s, Fig. 3 shows as a dashed area the set $\mathcal{Q}_S^{(\mathrm{E})}(2,1)$ with $K = 2$ and $M = 1$. We also show in gray the performance of IR-HARQ. Also in this case we observe that the shape of the two areas are different. We conclude that S-HARQ is a non-trivial extension of IR-HARQ.

In order to further confirm this conclusion in a more general setting, we have considered S-HARQ with a total of 6 slots.



Fig. 4. CDF of the difference between the throughputs of S-HARQ and IR-HARQ for various values of M, over a Rice fading wiretap channel.

Both Alice-Bob and Alice-Eve channels are block-fading, with independent Rice channels at each slot: the Rice factor is 0 dB for both and the average signal to noise ratio (SNR) is 4 dB for the Alice-Bob channel and 5 dB, for the Alice-Eve channel. The performance of the proposed approach is assessed over block fading channels, by considering the achievable secret throughput, i.e.

$$T = \max_{\{R_{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{k}}\}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{P_{\mathrm{D}}(k,m)[1 - P_{\mathrm{s}}(k,m)]R_s}{M(k-1)+m}, \tag{25}$$

which is the average (over the number of slots) of the secrecy rate divided by the number of slots needed for detection. As a reference value we consider the achievable secret throughput of the IR-HARQ scheme $T^{(\mathrm{IR})}$ and we focus on the additional secret throughput defined as $\Delta_T = T^{(\mathrm{S})} - T^{(\mathrm{IR})}$. Using (22), (23) and (24) with equalities in (25) we obtain a lower bound on the achievable secret throughput. Note that we resort to the bounds since as exact performance is not known, although the difference of the lower bounds Δ_T may not in general be a bound of the actual difference. For various values of

M, Fig. 4 shows the CDF of Δ_T. Moreover, the total of 6 slots can be split into the following frame configurations: $a)$ $K=1$, $M=6$, $b)$ $K=2$, $M=3$, $c)$ $K=3$, $M=2$, $d)$ $K=6$, $M=1$. Recall that $K=1, M=6$ corresponds to the IR-HARQ system. From the figure, we note that for all $M<6$, we have $\Delta_T>0$ with non-zero probability, therefore there are cases when the diversity provided by different frames within the same total number of slots, yields a strictly higher throughput than choosing $K=1$. Moreover, we observe that by varying M the distribution of Δ_T changes, thus leaving space for optimization of the system, to be considered in future studies.

VII. Conclusions

We have proposed a secret message transmission scheme over block fading channels with a feedback from the legitimate receiver with no CSI. Numerical results have highlighted the non-trivial relation with existing schemes and the fact that for some channel conditions the proposed solution provides a higher available secret throughput.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the MIUR project ESCAPADE (Grant RBFR105NLC) under the "FIRB-Futuro in Ricerca 2010" funding program.

Appendix

In this Appendix we provide the proof of Lemma 1.

For the sake of a simpler notation we provide the proof for $K'=K$ and $M'=M$, the generalization being straightforward.

Without restriction we suppose $\mathcal{M}=i=1$. Indicating with $T^{nM}_{K,\epsilon}(X_kY_k)$ the set of all ϵ-jointly weakly typical sequences $(\{X_1^{Mn}(i,j_1),\dots,X_K^{Mn}(i,j_k)\},\{Y_1^{Mn},\dots,Y_K^{Mn}\})$, we can express $\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})]$ in terms of the events

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}=\{\,(\{X_k^{nM}(i,j_k)\},\{Y_k^{nM}\})\in\mathcal{T}^{nM}_{K,\epsilon}(X_kY_k)\,\} \tag{26}$$

for $(i,j_1,\dots,j_K)\in[1,2^{nR_s}]\times[1,2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}]\times\cdots\times[1,2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}]$ as

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})]=\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}^c_{1,1,\dots,1}\cup\bigcup_{(1,j_1,\dots,j_K):\{\exists k:j_k\neq1\}}\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}\cup\bigcup_{(i,j_1,\dots,j_K):i\neq1}\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}\right] \tag{27}$$

By the asymptotic equipartition property we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}^c_{1,1,\dots,1}\right]\leq\delta_\epsilon(n)\,. \tag{28}$$

Indicating with with $T^{nM}_{\epsilon}(X_kY_k)$ the set of all ϵ-jointly weakly typical sequences $(X_k^{Mn}(i,j_k),Y_k^{Mn})$, define the event

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,j,k}=\{(X_k^{nM}(i,j),Y_k^{nM})\in\mathcal{T}^{nM}_{\epsilon}(X_kY_k)\} \tag{29}$$

for $(i,j,k)\in[1,2^{nR_s}]\times[1,2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}]\times[1,K]$, then we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}\right]=\prod_k\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{F}_{i,j_k,k}\right]\,. \tag{30}$$

We can split $\bigcup_{(1,j_1,\dots,j_K):\{\exists k:j_k\neq1\}}\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}$ as the union of the events where an error occurs in at least one frame. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of k for which error occurs, then we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}_{i,j_k\neq1,k\in\mathcal{S},j_u=1,u\in\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathcal{S}}\right]\leq2^{-n(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{I}(X_k^{Mn};Y_k^{Mn}|p_k)-\delta(\epsilon))} \tag{31}$$

with $\mathcal{K}=\{1,2,\dots,K\}$, and we have $2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}|\mathcal{S}|}-1$ of these events. Hence, the most restrictive condition on $R_{\mathrm{d},k}$ to have vanishing error probability is when only one of the K messages is different from 1 and in this case we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}_{1,j_1,\dots,j_K}\right]\leq2^{-n(\mathrm{I}(X_k^{nM};Y_k^{nM}|p_k)-\delta(\epsilon))}\,,\quad\exists!k:j_k\neq1 \tag{32}$$

and we have $2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}-1$ of such events.

Event $\mathcal{E}_{i,j_1,\dots,j_K}$, $i\neq1$ occurs when $\mathcal{F}_{i,j,k}$, $i\neq1$ occurs for all k, in which case from (30) we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\mathcal{E}_{1,j_1,\dots,j_K}\right]\leq2^{-n(\sum_{k=1}^K\mathrm{I}(X_k^{nM};Y_k^{nM}|p_k)-\delta(\epsilon))}\,,\quad\exists!k:j_k\neq1 \tag{33}$$

and we have $(2^{nR_s}-1)2^{\sum_{k=1}^K R_{\mathrm{d},k}}$ of such events.

From (27) we have

$$\mathrm{E}_{C_n}[\mathrm{P}_e(C_n|\boldsymbol{p})]\leq\delta_\epsilon(n)+\sum_{k=1}^K(2^{nR_{\mathrm{d},k}}-1)2^{-n(\mathrm{I}(X_k^{nM};Z_k^{nM}|p_k)-\delta(\epsilon))}+2^{\sum_{k=1}^K R_{\mathrm{d},k}}(2^{nR_s}-1)2^{-n\sum_{k=1}^K(\mathrm{I}(X_k^{nM};Y_k^{nM}|p_k)-\delta(\epsilon))}\,.$$

Lastly, observing that

$$\mathrm{I}(X_k^{nM};Y_k^{nM}|p_k)=\sum_{m=1}^M\mathrm{I}(X_{k,m}^{n};Y_{k,m}^{n}|p_{k,m})\,, \tag{34}$$

we conclude the proof.

References

[1] A. D. Wyner, "The wire-tap channel," *Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1355–1387, 1975.

[2] I. Csiszar and J. Korner, "Broadcast channels with confidential messages," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 339–348, 1978.

[3] S. Leung-Yan-Cheong and M. E. Hellman, "The gaussian wire-tap channel," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 451–456, July 1978.

[4] M. Bloch and J. a. Barros, *Physical-Layer Security. From Information Theory to Security Engineering*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[5] E. Ardestanizadeh, M. Franceschetti, T. Javidi, and Y.-H. Kim, "Wiretap channel with secure rate-limited feedback," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5353–5361, July 2009.

[6] Y. Abdallah, M. Abdel Latif, M. Youssef, A. Sultan, and H. El Gamal, "Keys through ARQ: Theory and practice," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 737–751, 2011.

[7] X. Tang, R. Liu, P. Spasojevic, and H. V. Poor, "On the throughput of secure hybrid-ARQ protocols for Gaussian block-fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1575–1591, Apr. 2009.

[8] Y. Sarikaya, O. Ercetin, and C. E. Koksal, "Wireless network control with privacy using hybrid ARQ," *ArXiv*, Feb. 2012. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.1178

[9] M. Baldi, M. Bianchi, and F. Chiaraluce, "Coding with scrambling, concatenation, and HARQ for the AWGN wire-tap channel: A security gap analysis," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 883–894, June 2012.

[10] G. Caire and D. Tuninetti, "The throughput of hybrid-ARQ protocols for the Gaussian collision channel," *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 1971–1988, July 2001.

[11] T. M. Cover, *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley, 2006.